

ADDENDUM NO. 1
For
City of Greenville (City)
Greenville Town Common & Esplanade Project
April 7, 2025

GENERAL:

1. This Addendum shall supplement, amend, and become a part of the Bid Documents. All Bids and the Construction Contract shall be based on these modifications.
2. Bidders shall acknowledge the receipt of this Addendum on their Form of Proposal.

SPECIFICATIONS:

No revisions to the specifications in this addendum.

DRAWINGS:

No revisions to the drawings in this addendum.

BIDDER'S QUESTIONS:

The deadline for prospective Bidders to submit written questions to the Designer for response was established in the Advertisement for Bidders as **April 3, 2025 at 5:00 pm**.

The following Designer responses to Bidder's written questions received by the deadline are included with this Addendum and are provided for information/clarification purposes only:

1. *Would an 8ft wide floating dock be an acceptable substitute for the 6ft wide dock?*
 - A. **Response:** An 8-foot-wide floating dock may be approved after contract award if it meets floodplain requirements and is consistent with US Army Corps of Engineers navigation assessment. Propose as advertised.
2. *Is the stiff-arm system a requirement? Typically, floating docks are anchored by a multi-piling system within the framework of the dock, or they are attached with exterior pile hoops.*
 - A. **Response:** The stiff-arm system is required for this project.
3. *Can M&N provide a detail for the SS Bands at the tops of all piles?*
 - A. **Response:** Detail to be provided by the contractor for approval.

4. *Will an alternate sheet pile section of AZ-20 800 (ASTM A-572 Gr. 60) be an acceptable substitute?*
 - A. **Response:** The proposed sheet pile section AZ20-800 may be approved after award but cannot be approved at this time. Variations may be approved after the construction contract award. For approval, the contractor will be responsible for proposing an alternate pile layout with the proposed alternate sheet pile width for review and approval. Propose as advertised.
5. *Pile schedule calls out 10" piles but the timber pile spec calls out ASTM D25 with 10" diameter at 3ft from the butt. ASTM D25 is 12" correct?*
 - A. **Response:** The project piles do not follow the Class A and B classifications of the 1937 edition of ASTM D25. 10" pile is the correct size.
6. *Please confirm the requirement for timber treatment 2.5ACZA pcf. This is an unusual and atypical treatment for the Southeast. Would CAC in UC4A or UC4B be an acceptable alternative?*
 - A. **Response:** Refer to paragraph 2.1 of specification section 31 62 19 – Timber Piles. The Current treatment is CCA with 0.8 pcf retention.
7. *What is the freeboard height of the floating dock?*
 - A. **Response:** For freeboard height please refer to 2.1G of specification Section 35 51 14.
8. *I have some more questions about the site furnishings. Regarding the details, some of the furnishings will have to be custom-built. Do we still need to include those in our estimate, and if so, is there somewhere where you already have specs for them? Or something similar?*
 - A. **Response:** All site furnishings should be included in the estimate. Please refer to spec Section 32 40 00 – Manufactured Site Furnishings.
9. *I also have a question about the pedestrian vs. vehicular pavers. In the plans, it's hard to tell the difference between the two, and I'm assuming the paving pattern is to mix them, so that they have a slight transition from one to the other. Could you specify which is which?*
 - A. **Response:** South of the decorative trench drain, all pavers are to be vehicular grade for both of the east and west plazas. North of the decorative trench drain is pedestrian grade pavers for both plazas.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1